# **Probing the** *CP* **nature of the Higgs bosons by** *tt* **production at photon linear colliders**

E. Asakawa<sup>1,2</sup>, K. Hagiwara<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

<sup>2</sup> Theory Group, KEK, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan

Received: 2 June 2003 / Published online: 24 October 2003 – © Springer-Verlag / Società Italiana di Fisica 2003

**Abstract.** We study the effects of heavy Higgs bosons on the  $t\bar{t}$  production process at photon linear colliders. The interference patterns between the resonant Higgs production amplitudes and the continuum QED amplitudes are examined. The patterns tell us not only the CP nature of the Higgs bosons but also the phase of the  $\gamma\gamma$ –Higgs vertex which gives new information about the Higgs couplings to new charged particles. We point out that it is necessary to use circularly polarized photon beams to produce efficiently heavy Higgs bosons whose masses exceed the electron beam energy, and show that the above interference patterns of the production amplitudes can be studied by observing t and  $\bar{t}$  decay angular distributions. Analytic expressions for the helicity amplitudes for the sequential process  $\gamma\gamma \to t\bar{t} \to (bW^+)(\bar{b}W^-) \to (bf_1\bar{f}_2)(\bar{b}_3\bar{f}_4)$  are presented in terms of the generic  $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow t\bar{t}$  production amplitudes.

## **1 Introduction**

The scalar sector of the standard model (SM) consists of one  $SU(2)_w$  doublet. After the electroweak symmetry<br>breaking (EWSB), a neutral CP-eyen Higgs boson remains breaking (EWSB), a neutral CP-even Higgs boson remains as a physical state. Although the SM is consistent with the current experimental data, new physics will be indispensable if we consider the hierarchy between the electroweak scale and the Planck scale, the failure of the gauge coupling unification etc. as serious problems. It is natural that new physics modify the mechanism of the EWSB. Such a modification may lead to the appearance of Higgs bosons with various CP properties. In the case that an extra doublet extends the scalar sector of the SM, two extra neutral and two charged Higgs bosons should be observed. If CP is a good symmetry of the scalar sector, one additional neutral boson is CP-even and the other is CP-odd. Therefore, probing the CP property as well as the masses, the decay widths and the couplings of all the Higgs bosons is necessary for exploring the Higgs sector.

One of the colliders which can play an important role in studying the Higgs sector is a photon linear collider (PLC), an option of  $e^+e^-$  linear colliders [1–3]. The energy of the colliding photons, which are obtained by the backward Compton scattering of laser light on high-energy electrons, reaches about 80% of the energy of the original electron beam [4]. Since neutral Higgs bosons are produced as s-channel resonances via loops of charged massive particles, we can detect the Higgs bosons whose masses are less than about 80% of the collision energy of a parent  $e^+e^-$  collider. Thus, a PLC has the great advantage of detecting heavy neutral Higgs bosons whose masses exceed the reach of the LHC and an  $e^+e^-$  LC especially for those of the minimal supersymmetric SM (MSSM) [5]. For light Higgs bosons, it is well known that the  $\gamma\gamma$  decay widths of the Higgs bosons can be accurately measured [6]. This measurement is important because the contribution from heavy charged particles which couple to the Higgs bosons does not decouple from the vertex if their masses originate from the EWSB. As for the CP nature of Higgs bosons, CP-even and CP-odd Higgs bosons can be clearly distinguished by utilizing the linear polarization of colliding photons [7]. This powerful technique, however, is effective to probe the CP nature of relatively light Higgs bosons only, because the linear polarization transfer of the Compton back-scattered laser light decreases significantly when the photon energy is more than half the electron beam energy [4, 8]. For the heavier Higgs bosons whose masses exceed the electron beam energy, the  $t\bar{t}$  production process with circularly polarized photons is useful to study their CP properties [9–11].

In this paper, we revisit the study of the  $CP$  nature of neutral Higgs bosons through the  $t\bar{t}$  production process at a PLC. Such a study has been performed in [9–11]. It has been shown in Sect. 4.4 of [9] that, if we observe a sizable interference between the Higgs resonant and QED continuum amplitudes for the two helicity combinations of the top pairs produced by circularly polarized colliding photons, we can determine the  $CP$  parity of the Higgs bosons. In [10], the observables which are useful for complete determination of the  $\gamma\gamma$ -Higgs and  $t\bar{t}$ -Higgs couplings have been presented, in the presence of CP non-conserving interactions. The accuracy of the determination of those couplings has been studied in [11], by using the combined asymmetries involving the circular polarization of colliding photons and the charge of charged leptons in top decays with a cut off on the lepton angle.

In this paper, we extend the study of [9], and study the interference patterns of the resonant and the continuum amplitudes in more detail for the  $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow t\bar{t}$  process by using the circularly polarized colliding photons. We find that not only the squares of the helicity amplitudes but also the real and imaginary parts of the interference between the two helicity amplitudes can be measured by studying the angular correlations of t and  $\bar{t}$  decay products. They are useful for deriving information on the  $CP$  nature of Higgs bosons. It will also be shown that these interference effects allow us to observe the complex phase of the  $\gamma\gamma$ -Higgs vertices, as  $[12]$  has shown in  $WW$  and  $ZZ$  production processes.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 helicity amplitudes for the process  $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow t\bar{t}$  are given. In Sect. 3 observables which are sensitive to the  $CP$  parity of the Higgs bosons as well as the complex phase of the  $\gamma\gamma$ –Higgs vertex are discussed. Numerical estimates of the observables which are introduced in Sect. 3 are performed in Sect. 4. We give our conclusions in the last section. Analytic expressions for the helicity amplitudes for the sequential process  $\gamma\gamma \to t\bar{t} \to (bW^+)(\bar{b}W^-) \to (bf_1\bar{f}_2)(\bar{b}f_3\bar{f}_4)$  are presented in Appendix A.

## **2 Helicity amplitudes for the process**  $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow t\bar{t}$

When the  $\gamma\gamma$  collision energy reaches around the mass of a spinless boson  $\phi$  ( $\phi = H$  or A where H and A are the CP-even and CP-odd Higgs bosons respectively), the process

$$
\gamma(k_1, \lambda_1) + \gamma(k_2, \lambda_2) \to t(p, \sigma) + \overline{t}(\overline{p}, \overline{\sigma}) \tag{2.1}
$$

receives leading contributions from the diagrams in which the spinless boson is exchanged in the s-channel and the top quark is exchanged in the  $t$ - and  $u$ -channels. The fourmomenta and the helicities of the participating particles in the colliding  $\gamma\gamma$  center-of-mass frame are given in parentheses. We adopt the notation [13] where the photon (fermion) helicities are denoted by the signs in units of  $\hbar ( \hbar/2)^1$ . The helicity amplitudes of the process can be expressed as

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}^{\sigma \overline{\sigma}} = [\mathcal{M}_{\phi}]_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}^{\sigma \overline{\sigma}} + [\mathcal{M}_t]_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}^{\sigma \overline{\sigma}}, \tag{2.2}
$$

where the first term,  $\mathcal{M}_{\phi}$ , stands for the s-channel  $\phi$ exchange amplitudes and the latter term  $\mathcal{M}_t$  stands for the  $t$ - and  $u$ -channel top-quark exchange amplitudes. The resonant helicity amplitudes are calculated by using the lowest-dimensional effective Lagrangian of the form

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\phi\gamma\gamma} = \frac{1}{m_{\phi}} \left( b_{\gamma}^{H} A_{\mu\nu} A^{\mu\nu} + b_{\gamma}^{A} \widetilde{A}_{\mu\nu} A^{\mu\nu} \right) \phi, \quad (2.3)
$$

<sup>1</sup> For fermion helicities we often use the notation L and R instead of  $-$  and  $+$ 

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\phi t\bar{t}} = \bar{t} \left( d_t^H + \mathrm{id}_t^A \gamma_5 \right) t\phi,\tag{2.4}
$$

where  $A_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}$  and  $\widetilde{A}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}A^{\rho\sigma}$  (where  $\epsilon_{0123} = 1$ ) are the photon field strength tensor and its dual tensor, respectively. The resonant amplitudes are then expressed as products of the  $\gamma\gamma\phi$  vertex function  $A_{\phi}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2}$ , the Higgs propagator factor  $B_{\phi}$  and the decay vertex  $C_{\phi}^{\sigma\overline{\sigma}}$ ,

$$
[\mathcal{M}_{\phi}]_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}^{\sigma \overline{\sigma}} = A_{\phi}^{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} B_{\phi} C_{\phi}^{\sigma \overline{\sigma}}, \tag{2.5}
$$

where

$$
A_{\phi}^{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} = \left(b_{\gamma}^H + i\lambda_1 b_{\gamma}^A\right) \frac{\hat{s}}{m_{\phi}} \delta_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2},\tag{2.6}
$$

$$
B_{\phi} = \frac{1}{m_{\phi}^2 - \hat{s} - \mathrm{i}m_{\phi} \Gamma_{\phi}},\tag{2.7}
$$

$$
C^{\sigma\overline{\sigma}}_{\phi} = (\beta \ \sigma d_t^H - \mathrm{i} d_t^A) \sqrt{\hat{s}} \ \delta_{\sigma\overline{\sigma}}.\tag{2.8}
$$

In the  $CP$ -conserving limit, the  $H$ - and  $A$ -exchange amplitudes are [9]

$$
\left[\mathcal{M}_H\right]_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{\sigma\overline{\sigma}} = \sigma \ \beta \ b_\gamma^H d_t^H \ \frac{\hat{s}}{m_H^2 - \hat{s} - \mathrm{i}m_H\Gamma_H} \ \frac{\sqrt{\hat{s}}}{m_H} \ \delta_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2} \delta_{\sigma,\overline{\sigma}},\tag{2.9}
$$

$$
\left[\mathcal{M}_A\right]_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{\sigma\overline{\sigma}} = \lambda_1 \quad b_\gamma^A \ d_t^A \ \frac{\hat{s}}{m_A^2 - \hat{s} - \mathrm{i}m_A\Gamma_A} \ \frac{\sqrt{\hat{s}}}{m_A} \ \delta_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}\delta_{\sigma,\overline{\sigma}},\tag{2.10}
$$

where  $\beta$  is the velocity of the top quarks and  $\hat{s}$  is the total energy-squared in the rest frame of  $\gamma\gamma$  collisions. The masses and the total decay widths of the Higgs bosons are denoted by  $m_{\phi}$  and  $\Gamma_{\phi}$ .

In the following, we sometimes use the predictions of the MSSM as examples. The effective couplings are expressed in the MSSM as

$$
d_t^H = -\frac{gm_t}{2m_W} \frac{\sin \alpha}{\sin \beta},
$$
\n
$$
d_t^A = \frac{gm_t}{2m_W} \cot \beta
$$
\n(2.11)

for the  $ttH$  and  $ttA$  couplings, where g is the SU(2) gauge coupling,  $\tan \beta = \langle v_u \rangle / \langle v_d \rangle$  is the ratio of the two Higgs vacuum expectation values, and  $\alpha$  is the mixing angle between the neutral real components of the two Higgs doublets and the two CP-even Higgs bosons. The  $\gamma \gamma H$  and  $\gamma \gamma A$  couplings are induced on the one loop level:

$$
b_{\gamma}^{H}(\hat{s}) = \frac{\alpha g}{8\pi} \frac{m_{H}}{m_{W}} \sum_{i} I_{H}^{i} \left(\frac{\hat{s}}{m_{i}^{2}}\right),
$$
 (2.12)  

$$
b_{\gamma}^{A}(\hat{s}) = -\frac{\alpha g}{8\pi} \frac{m_{A}}{m_{W}} \sum_{i} I_{A}^{i} \left(\frac{\hat{s}}{m_{i}^{2}}\right).
$$

**Table 1.** The tree-level helicity amplitudes of  $\gamma \gamma \to t\bar{t}$ ,  $[\mathcal{M}_t]_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}^{\sigma \bar{\sigma}}$ , in (2.13). The common factor  $8\pi\alpha Q_t^2/(1-\beta^2\cos^2\theta)$  is omitted in the table. The two photon helicities  $\lambda_1 \lambda_2$  are given in the first column, and the  $t\bar{t}$  helicities  $\sigma\bar{\sigma}$  are denoted by RR, LL, RL, LR for  $(\sigma\overline{\sigma}) = (++), (--), (+-), (-+),$  respectively, in the first row

	$_{\rm RR}$	بايا	RL	LR.
	$++ \qquad (1+\beta)/\gamma \qquad (1-\beta)/\gamma$			
$--$	$-(1-\beta)/\gamma$	$-(1+\beta)/\gamma$		
	$+  -\beta/\gamma \sin^2 \theta$ $\beta/\gamma \sin^2 \theta$		$-\beta \sin \Theta (1 + \cos \Theta)$	$\beta$ sin $\Theta(1-\cos\Theta)$
	$-+$ $-\beta/\gamma \sin^2\Theta$ $\beta/\gamma \sin^2\Theta$		$\beta \sin \Theta (1 - \cos \Theta)$	$-\beta \sin \Theta (1 + \cos \Theta)$

The dimensionless loop functions  $I_H^i$  and  $I_A^i$  for all the  $MSSM$  diagrams (labeled by the index  $i$ , where the masses of particles in the loops are expressed by  $m_i$ ) are found e.g. in [14]. As long as the SUSY particles are heavier than the top quark, the top-quark contribution dominates over all the other contributions. The effective couplings  $b_{\gamma}^H$  and  $b_{\gamma}^{A}$  are real when all the particles in the loops are heavy and become complex above the thresholds.

The irreducible background to the resonant  $\phi$  production process is the non-resonant top-quark exchange processes, whose amplitudes are expressed in the tree level of QED by [9]

$$
[\mathcal{M}_t]_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}^{\sigma \overline{\sigma}} = \frac{8\pi \alpha Q_t^2}{1 - \beta^2 \cos^2 \Theta}
$$
(2.13)  
 
$$
\times \left\{ (\beta \sigma + \lambda_1) / \gamma \ \delta_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} \delta_{\sigma, \overline{\sigma}} - \beta / \gamma \ \sigma \sin^2 \Theta \ \delta_{\lambda_1, -\lambda_2} \delta_{\sigma, \overline{\sigma}} - \beta (\sigma \lambda_1 + \cos \Theta) \sin \Theta \ \delta_{\lambda_1, -\lambda_2} \delta_{\sigma, -\overline{\sigma}} \right\}.
$$

Here  $1/\gamma = \sqrt{1 - \beta^2} = 2m_t/\sqrt{\hat{s}}$  and  $\Theta$  is the polar angle of the top-quark momentum in the colliding  $\gamma\gamma$  CM frame. In Table 1, the amplitudes in units of the common factor  $8\pi\alpha Q_t^2/(1-\beta^2\cos^2\theta)$  are summarized. In this table, the photon helicities  $\lambda_1 \lambda_2$  are given in the first column, and the  $t\bar{t}$  helicities  $\sigma\bar{\sigma}$  are denoted by RR, LL, RL, LR for  $(\sigma\overline{\sigma}) = (++), \ (--), \ (+-), \ (-+), \$ respectively, in the first row. It should be noted that the four amplitudes in the left top column of Table 1, those for  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$  and  $\sigma = \overline{\sigma}$ , interfere with the resonant amplitudes of (2.5). Furthermore, at high energies ( $\beta \rightarrow 1, \gamma \gg 1$ ) all the  $\sigma = \overline{\sigma}$  amplitudes are suppressed by  $1/\gamma$ , among which the amplitudes for  $\sigma = \overline{\sigma} = -\lambda = -\overline{\lambda}$  are suppressed by  $1/\gamma^3$ . These properties as well as the relative signs of the top-quark exchange amplitudes will be found useful in probing the CP nature of the Higgs bosons in the following sections.

# **3 Determining the** *CP* **parity of the Higgs bosons**

#### **3.1 Overview**

The helicity dependence of the amplitudes discussed in the previous section is summarized in Table 2. We note

**Table 2.** The helicity dependence of the amplitudes of  $\gamma(\lambda)\gamma(\lambda) \to t(\sigma)\bar{t}(\sigma)$ ,  $[\mathcal{M}]_{\lambda\lambda}^{\sigma\sigma}$ . We denote  $[\mathcal{M}]_{++}^{\text{RR}}$  by  $\mathcal{M}$  for  $\mathcal{M}_t$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_H$  and  $\mathcal{M}_A$ , which denote the top-, H- and A-exchange amplitudes, respectively. The two photon helicities  $\lambda\lambda$  are given in the first column, and the  $t\bar{t}$  helicities  $\sigma\sigma$  are denoted by RR, LL for  $(\sigma\overline{\sigma}) = (++), (--),$  respectively, in the first row

	$_{\rm RR}$	LL.
	$\mathcal{M}_t$	$\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}$ $\mathcal{M}_t$
$^{++}$	${\cal M}_H$	$-{\cal M}_H$
	$\mathcal{M}_{A}$	$\mathcal{M}_A$
	$\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta} \mathcal{M}_t$	$-\,\mathcal{M}_t$
	${\cal M}_H$	$-\,{\cal M}_H$
	$ {\cal M}_A$	$ {\cal M}_A$

here that the individual (H-exchange, A-exchange, and t-exchange) amplitudes for the helicities  $\lambda = \overline{\lambda} = -$  and  $\lambda = \overline{\lambda} = -\sigma = -\overline{\sigma}$  are obtained from the  $\lambda = \overline{\lambda} = \sigma = \overline{\sigma} =$ + amplitudes  $[M_{H,A,t}]_{++}^{++}$  by multiplying the appropri-<br>ate sign factor representing the *CP* transformation propate sign factor representing the CP transformation property and the kinematical factor for the top-quark exchange amplitudes. Here  $[\mathcal{M}_{H,A,t}]_{++}^{++}$  are denoted by  $\mathcal{M}_{H,A,t}$  for simplicity. When the polarization of the colliding beams simplicity. When the polarization of the colliding beams is fixed, e.g. as  $\lambda = \lambda = +$ , the sign of the H production amplitude changes when the helicities of final top pairs are flipped. On the other hand, the sign of the A production amplitude does not depend on the helicities of the final top pairs. The sign of the top-quark exchange amplitudes does not depend on the  $t\bar{t}$  helicities, just like the A-exchange amplitudes, but the amplitude is reduced by a factor of  $(1-\beta)/(1+\beta)=1/[\gamma^2(1+\beta)^2]$  when the top-quark helicity is opposite to the photon helicity,  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = -\sigma = -\overline{\sigma}$ . Therefore, the top-quark helicity dependence of the interference pattern between the resonant amplitudes and the top-quark exchange amplitudes can be used to determine the CP parity of the Higgs resonance [9]. It should further be noted that within the given helicity amplitude the interference pattern below and above the resonance is also a good probe of the CP parity. In our phase connection,  $\mathcal{M}_t$  is positive at all  $\hat{s}$ , whereas the  $\phi$ -exchange amplitude  $\mathcal{M}_{\phi}$  is positive at low  $\hat{s}$  where the absorptive part of the  $\phi\gamma\gamma$  vertex can be neglected for the dominant top-quark loop contribution. We should hence expect constructive interference below the resonance when  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \sigma = \overline{\sigma}$ . The above statements are valid for both  $H$  and  $A$ , or their

arbitrary mixture when CP is violated. The interference pattern for the  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = -\sigma = -\overline{\sigma}$  amplitude is expected to reverse for  $H$ , whereas it remains the same for  $A$ . Both signs are possible when the resonance  $\phi$  does not have a definite CP parity.

Based on the above observation, we study carefully the interference patterns between the helicity amplitudes, that receive contribution from the s-channel spin-0 resonance production. In general, four types of observables can be studied in the process  $\gamma \gamma \to t \bar{t}$  where the initial photon polarization can be controlled by the backward Compton scattering of the laser light and the  $t\bar{t}$  polarization are measured through the angular distributions of the correlated cascade decays,  $t \to bW^+ \to b f_1 \overline{f}_2$  and  $\bar{t} \to \bar{b}W^- \to \bar{b}f_3\bar{f}_4$ . All the observables which are sensitive to the spin-0 resonance contributions are listed below:

$$
\left|\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\rm RR}\right|^2, \quad \left|\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\rm LL}\right|^2 \quad \text{for} \quad \lambda = +, -; \quad (3.1)
$$

Re, Im 
$$
\left[\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{RR} \left(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{LL}\right)^*\right]
$$
 for  $\lambda = +, -$ ; (3.2)

Re, Im 
$$
\left[\mathcal{M}_{++}^{\sigma\sigma} \left(\mathcal{M}_{--}^{\sigma\sigma}\right)^*\right]
$$
 for  $\sigma = R, L$ ; (3.3)

Re, Im 
$$
\left[\mathcal{M}_{++}^{\sigma\sigma} \left(\mathcal{M}_{--}^{-\sigma,-\sigma}\right)^*\right]
$$
 for  $\sigma = \text{R}, \text{L}.$  (3.4)

The observables (3.1) have been studied in [9] and they are found to be useful in distinguishing A from H. The observables (3.3) have been studied in [10] and are found to be effective in probing the CP nature of the neutral Higgs sector, including the case of CP-violation. Unfortunately, the observables (3.3) require linear polarization of the colliding photon beams, whose magnitude is small for  $z \equiv \sqrt{\hat{s}}/\sqrt{s} \gtrsim 0.5$  where  $\sqrt{s}$  is the CM energy of a parent  $e^-e^-$  collider [4,8]. In this article, we concentrate on the observables (3.1) and (3.2), which can take advantage of the high  $\gamma\gamma$  luminosity at large z with high level of monochromaticity, that are obtained from the backward Compton scattering of circularly polarized laser lights on longitudinally polarized electron beams. The CP-violating cases will be studied elsewhere [15]. To our knowledge, the observables of the type (3.4), whose observation requires both the linearly polarized photons and the angular correlations of t and t decays, have not been studied.

#### **3.2 Observables**

Because the top-quark polarizations are measured through its decay angular distribution [16,17], we study the cascade process

$$
\gamma(k_1, \lambda_1) + \gamma(k_2, \lambda_2) \to t(p, \sigma) + \bar{t}(\bar{p}, \bar{\sigma})
$$
 (3.5)

$$
\rightarrow b(p_b, \mathcal{L}) \ W^+(p_W, \Lambda) + \overline{b}(\overline{p}_b, \mathcal{R}) \ W^-(\overline{p}_W, \overline{\Lambda})
$$
\n(3.6)

$$
\rightarrow b(p_b, \mathbf{L}) f_1(p_1, \mathbf{L}) \overline{f}_2(p_2, \mathbf{R}) \n+ \overline{b}(\overline{p}_b, \mathbf{R}) f_3(p_3, \mathbf{L}) \overline{f}_4(p_4, \mathbf{R}),
$$
\n(3.7)

where we assume the SM amplitudes for the decays, and neglect the masses of all final fermions including b and b. The helicity amplitudes for the full process (3.7),  $\mathbf{M}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}$ , are given in Appendix A. The differential cross section for arbitrary initial photon helicities

$$
\frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}}{d\cos\theta \, d\cos\theta \, d\phi \, d\cos\overline{\theta} \, d\overline{\phi} \, d\cos\theta^* \, d\phi^* \, d\cos\overline{\theta}^* \, d\overline{\phi}^*}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{3\beta}{32\pi\hat{s}} \left| \mathbf{M}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}(\Theta; \theta, \phi; \overline{\theta}, \overline{\phi}; \theta^*, \phi^*, \overline{\theta}^*, \overline{\phi}^*) \right|^2 \times B_{12}B_{34}
$$
\n(3.8)

is readily obtained in the zero-width limit of the top quarks and the W bosons. Here  $B_{12}$  is the branching fraction of  $W^+ \rightarrow f_1 f_2$  decays, and  $B_{34}$  is the one of  $W^- \rightarrow f_3 f_4$ ,<br> $\hat{\epsilon} - (k_1 + k_2)^2$  is the total-energy-squared in the colliding  $\hat{s} = (k_1 + k_2)^2$  is the total-energy-squared in the colliding<br>so CM system  $\Theta$  is the polar angle of the top systems  $\gamma\gamma$  CM system,  $\Theta$  is the polar angle of the top-quark momentum in this frame measured from the direction of the photon beam with the momentum  $k_1$ ,  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  ( $\overline{\theta}$  and  $\overline{\phi}$ ) are the polar and azimuthal angles, respectively, of the  $W^+$  $(W^-)$  momentum in the t  $(\bar{t})$  rest frame. The polar angles  $(\theta \text{ and } \overline{\theta})$  are measured from the top-quark momentum direction in the  $\gamma\gamma$  CM frame and the azimuthal angles ( $\phi$ and  $\overline{\phi}$ ) are measured from the  $\gamma\gamma \to t\overline{t}$  scattering plane. Here we choose the common polar axis and the  $\phi = \overline{\phi} = 0$ plane to describe the  $t \to bW^+$  and  $\bar{t} \to bW^-$  decays, so that our coordinate frame for  $\overline{t} \to bW^-$  decays is obtained from the frame used for  $t\to bW^+$  decays by a single boost along the top-quark momentum direction. Finally,  $\theta^*$  and  $\phi^*$  ( $\overline{\theta}^*$  and  $\overline{\phi}^*$ ) are the polar and azimuthal angles, respectively, of the  $f_2$  (f<sub>3</sub>) momentum in the  $W^+$  ( $W^-$ ) rest frame. The polar angle  $\theta^*$  ( $\overline{\theta}^*$ ) is measured from the  $W^+$  $(W^-)$  momentum direction in the t  $(\bar{t})$  rest frame, and the azimuthal angle  $\phi^*$  ( $\overline{\phi}^*$ ) is measured from the  $W^+b$  ( $W^-\overline{b}$ ) decay plane in the  $\gamma\gamma$  collision CM frame. The origins of the azimuthal angles are chosen such that the  $y$ -axis for  $\phi = \overline{\phi} = \frac{\pi}{2}$  is along the **k**<sub>**1**</sub>  $\times$  **p** direction in the  $\gamma \gamma$  CM frame, the one for  $\phi^* = \frac{\pi}{2}$  ( $\overline{\phi}^* = \frac{\pi}{2}$ ) is along the **p** × **p**<sub>W<sup>+</sup> (**p** × **p**<sub>W</sub>+) direction also in the  $\gamma \gamma$  CM frame</sub>  $(\bar{\mathbf{p}} \times \mathbf{p}_{W^-})$  direction also in the  $\gamma \gamma$  CM frame.

If we assume that the top-quark decays are essentially described by the SM amplitudes as above, it is straightforward to extract all the four observables (3.1) and (3.2), for a given initial photon polarization  $(\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = +$  or −), by studying the t and  $\bar{t}$  decay angular distributions. An optimal accuracy of such measurements can readily be estimated by using the exclusive distributions [18] for a given range of the scattering angle  $\Theta$ . Such measurements should be especially effective near the  $t\bar{t}$  threshold where the  $\Theta$  dependence of the background amplitude is moderate. In this article, we present a primitive version of such an analysis where we assume that the exclusive distributions of the  $\gamma\gamma \to t\bar{t} \to bW^+\bar{b}W^-$  process (3.6) are measured for transversely and longitudinally polarized  $W$ 's  $(W_T$  and  $W_L$ , respectively) separately. We assume that the  $W^+$  ( $W^-$ ) helicity is measured in the t  $(\bar{t})$ rest frame. Such distributions are in principle measurable when the W pair decays hadronically or semi-leptonically. When both  $W$ 's decay leptonically, the presence of two

energetic neutrinos in the final state makes it impossible to reconstruct the W momenta uniquely. It should further be noted that  $W_T$  and  $W_L$  can be distinguished experimentally even when the  $W$  decays hadronically, though less efficiently than the leptonic decay case.

The differential cross sections for polarized W's are now expressed compactly as follows:

$$
\frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}}}{d\cos\theta \, d\cos\theta \, d\phi \, d\cos\overline{\theta} \, d\overline{\phi}}\n= \frac{3\beta}{32\pi\hat{s}} \left| \mathbf{M}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}}(\Theta; \theta, \phi, \overline{\theta}, \overline{\phi}) \right|^2.
$$
\n(3.9)

Explicit forms of the helicity amplitude  $\mathbf{M}^{\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}$  appear in Appendix B. Here, we consider the case of  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$ , because a high luminosity and high degree of polarization for energetic two photon pairs can be achieved at a PLC. The four relevant squared matrix elements for  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$ are

$$
|\mathbf{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{LL}}|^2 = \frac{B_L^2}{16\pi^2} \Big\{ |\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{RR}}|^2 (1 + \cos\theta)(1 + \cos\overline{\theta}) \qquad (3.10)
$$

$$
+ |\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{LL}}|^2 (1 - \cos\theta)(1 - \cos\overline{\theta})
$$

$$
+ 2 \operatorname{Re} \Big[ \mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{RR}} \cdot (\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{LL}})^* \Big] \sin\theta \sin\overline{\theta} \cos(\phi - \overline{\phi})
$$

$$
- 2 \operatorname{Im} \Big[ \mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{RR}} \cdot (\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{LL}})^* \Big] \sin\theta \sin\overline{\theta} \sin(\phi - \overline{\phi}) \Big\},
$$

$$
|\mathbf{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{LT}}|^2 = \frac{B_{\text{L}}B_{\text{T}}}{16\pi^2} \left\{ |\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{RR}}|^2 (1 + \cos\theta)(1 - \cos\overline{\theta}) \right\}
$$
 (3.11)  
+ 
$$
|\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{LL}}|^2 (1 - \cos\theta)(1 + \cos\overline{\theta})
$$

$$
- 2 \operatorname{Re} \left[ \mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\mathrm{RR}} \cdot \left( \mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\mathrm{LL}} \right)^* \right] \sin \theta \sin \overline{\theta} \cos(\phi - \overline{\phi})
$$

$$
+ 2 \operatorname{Im} \left[ \mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\mathrm{RR}} \cdot \left( \mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\mathrm{LL}} \right)^* \right] \sin \theta \sin \overline{\theta} \sin(\phi - \overline{\phi}) \Big\},
$$

$$
|\mathbf{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{TL}}|^2 = \frac{B_{\text{L}}B_{\text{T}}}{16\pi^2} \left\{ |\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{RR}}|^2 (1 - \cos\theta)(1 + \cos\overline{\theta}) \right\} \tag{3.12}
$$

+ 
$$
|\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{LL}}|^2 (1 + \cos \theta)(1 - \cos \theta)
$$
  
\n-  $2 \text{Re} \left[ \mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{RR}} \cdot (\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{LL}})^* \right] \sin \theta \sin \overline{\theta} \cos(\phi - \overline{\phi})$   
\n+  $2 \text{Im} \left[ \mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{RR}} \cdot (\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{LL}})^* \right] \sin \theta \sin \overline{\theta} \sin(\phi - \overline{\phi})$ ,

$$
|\mathbf{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{TT}|^2 = \frac{B_{\rm T}^2}{16\pi^2} \Big\{ |\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\rm RR}|^2 (1 - \cos\theta)(1 - \cos\overline{\theta}) \qquad (3.13)
$$
  
+  $|\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\rm LL}|^2 (1 + \cos\theta)(1 + \cos\overline{\theta})$   
+  $2 \text{Re} \Big[ \mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\rm RR} \cdot \left( \mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\rm LL} \right)^* \Big] \sin\theta \sin\overline{\theta} \cos(\phi - \overline{\phi})$   
-  $2 \text{Im} \Big[ \mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\rm RR} \cdot \left( \mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\rm LL} \right)^* \Big] \sin\theta \sin\overline{\theta} \sin(\phi - \overline{\phi}) \Big\},$ 

where  $B_{\rm L} = m_t^2/(m_t^2 + 2m_W^2)$  and  $B_{\rm T} = 2m_W^2/(m_t^2 + 2m_W^2)$  are the heavening of the decay  $t \rightarrow W_t^+$  $2m_W^2$  are the branching ratios of the decays  $t \to bW_L^+$  $(\bar{t} \to \bar{b}W_{\rm L}^-)$  and  $t \to bW_{\rm T}^+$   $(\bar{t} \to \bar{b}W_{\rm T}^-)$ , respectively. It is

clear that  $|\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{RR}|^2$  and  $|\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{LL}|^2$  are obtained by integrating out the  $\phi - \overline{\phi}$  azimuthal angle distributions, and they can be distinguished by using the  $W^+$  and  $W^-$  polar angle ( $\theta$ and  $\overline{\theta}$ ) distributions. Since it is necessary to distinguish  $\theta$ from  $\bar{\theta}$  (W<sup>+</sup> from W<sup>-</sup>), semi-leptonic decay modes should be used for the discrimination.  $\text{Re}[\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{\text{RR}} \cdot (\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{\text{LL}})^*]$  and  $\text{Im}[\mathcal{M}^{\text{RR}}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}\cdot\big(\mathcal{M}^{\text{LL}}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}\big)^*]$  are obtained simply by projecting out the  $cos(\phi - \phi)$  and  $sin(\phi - \phi)$  distributions. Both  $\phi$ and  $\overline{\phi}$  are observable when the  $W^+W^-$  pair decays semileptonically. Because the above four distributions can be measured independently, consistency among the four measurements can be checked.

We note here that the cross section for  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$  $\lambda$  without observing the W polarization can be written compactly as follows:

$$
\frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{\lambda\lambda}}{d\cos\theta \, d\cos\theta \, d\cos\overline{\theta} \, d\phi \, d\overline{\phi}} \n= \frac{3\beta}{32\pi\hat{s}} \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \times \left\{ |\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{RR}}|^2 \left[ (B_{\text{L}}^2 + B_{\text{T}}^2)(1 + \cos\theta\cos\overline{\theta}) + 2B_{\text{L}}B_{\text{T}}(1 - \cos\theta\cos\overline{\theta}) + (B_{\text{L}}^2 - B_{\text{T}}^2)(\cos\theta + \cos\overline{\theta}) \right] \n+ |\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{LL}}|^2 \left[ (B_{\text{L}}^2 + B_{\text{T}}^2)(1 + \cos\theta\cos\overline{\theta}) + 2B_{\text{L}}B_{\text{T}}(1 - \cos\theta\cos\overline{\theta}) - (B_{\text{L}}^2 - B_{\text{T}}^2)(\cos\theta + \cos\overline{\theta}) \right] \n+ 2\operatorname{Re} \left[ \mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{RR}}(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{LL}})^* \right] \n\times \left[ (B_{\text{L}} - B_{\text{T}})^2 \sin\theta\sin\overline{\theta}\cos(\phi - \overline{\phi}) \right] \n+ 2\operatorname{Im} \left[ \mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{RR}}(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{LL}})^* \right] \n\times \left[ -(B_{\text{L}} - B_{\text{T}})^2 \sin\theta\sin\overline{\theta}\sin(\phi - \overline{\phi}) \right] \}.
$$
\n(3.14)

Because  $B_L$  and  $B_T$  have different numerical values,  $B_L \simeq 0.2$  and  $B_T \simeq 0.3$  are separated by the four observables 0.7 and  $B_T \simeq 0.3$ , we can obtain the four observables<br> $1AA^{RR}$   $(1AA^{LL})^2$   $R_0(M^{RR}(A^{LL})^*)$  and  $I_{\text{m}}(A^{RR}(A^{LL})^*)$  $|\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{RR}}|^2$ ,  $|\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{LL}}|^2$ , Re $[\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{RR}}(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{LL}})^*]$  and Im $[\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{RR}}(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{LL}})^*]$ even without observing the W polarization. In the following discussion, we adopt the simple expression (3.14) in order to avoid repeating similar equations four times. It should be understood that the measurements can be improved significantly by using the W polarization information, as shown in  $(3.10)$  to  $(3.13)$ .

## **4 Numerical estimates**

## **4.1 Convoluted cross sections with energy distribution of photon beams**

The Compton back-scattered photons have a broad energy distribution with the maximal value  $E_{\gamma}^{\text{max}} = \frac{x}{x+1} E_e$  with  $x = 4E_{\gamma}$ .  $\gamma_{\text{max}}^2$  in the zero angle limit of the Compton  $x \equiv 4E_e\omega_L/m_e^2$ , in the zero angle limit of the Compton<br>sectoring  $E$  and  $\omega$  are the electron and laser photon scattering.  $E_e$  and  $\omega_L$  are the electron and laser photon energy. The circularly polarized laser photons and longitudinally polarized electrons help the broad distribution peak near the high-energy end-point where the colliding photons are highly polarized.



**Fig. 1.** The  $\gamma\gamma$  luminosity functions normalized by  $\mathcal{L}_{0.8}$ , the luminosity integrated over the region  $z \geq 0.8z_{\rm m}$  where  $z = \sqrt{s_{\gamma\gamma}}/\sqrt{s_{ee}}$  and  $z_{\rm m} = x/(x+1)$  is the maximum energy fraction. The distributi  $(-,-), (+-)$  and  $(-+),$  are shown separately for  $P_l = -1.0, P_e = 0.9$  and  $x = 4.8$ 

Figure 1 shows the  $\gamma\gamma$  collision energy distribution which is calculated by the tree-level formula of the backward Compton scattering [4] for  $x = 4.8$  assuming complete polarization for laser photons ( $P_l = -1.0$ ) and 90% polarization for electrons ( $P_e = 0.9$ ). The distributions are shown for each combination of  $\gamma\gamma$  helicities. The horizontal axis indicates the  $\gamma\gamma$  collision energy  $(\sqrt{\hat{s}})$  normalized by the ee CM energy  $(\sqrt{s})$ , that is,  $z = \sqrt{\hat{s}}/\sqrt{s}$ . The large z region where the energy distribution is peaked and dominated by the  $++$  combination  $(\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = +)$  is most useful for the study of  $J_z = 0$  mode in the  $\gamma \gamma$  collision. It is expected that the  $\gamma\gamma$  luminosity in the region  $z \geq 0.8z_{\text{m}} = 0.8\frac{x}{x+1}$  will account for about 10% of the geo-<br>metric luminosity of electron-electron collisions. Like metric luminosity of electron–electron collisions,  $L_{ee}^{\text{geom}}$  [4],

$$
\mathcal{L}_{0.8} \equiv \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} \int_{0.8z_{\rm m}}^{z_{\rm m}} \mathrm{d}z \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}^{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}}{\mathrm{d}z} \approx 0.1 \mathcal{L}_{ee}^{\text{geom}}.\tag{4.1}
$$

In the lower energy region,  $z \lesssim 0.8z_{\text{m}}$ , both the spectrum<br>and the polarization require significant pop linear correct and the polarization receive significant non-linear corrections so that the Compton scattering becomes a poor approximation. We therefore normalized the  $\gamma\gamma$  luminosity distributions by  $\mathcal{L}_{0.8}$  in Fig. 1. All our convoluted cross sections are calculated for the  $\gamma\gamma$  luminosity distributions normalized by  $\mathcal{L}_{0.8}$ . The expected number of events is hence obtained by multiplying the convoluted cross sections by  $\mathcal{L}_{0.8} \approx 0.1 \mathcal{L}_{ee}^{\text{geom}}$ . Though our luminosity functions based<br>on Compton gottering are not reliable at  $z \leq 0.8z$ , or on Compton scattering are not reliable at  $z \lesssim 0.8z_{\rm m}$  or  $z \lesssim 0.66$  for  $x = 4.8$  in this report we consider  $t\overline{t}$  produce  $z \lesssim 0.66$  for  $x = 4.8$ , in this report we consider  $t\bar{t}$  production at a  $\sqrt{s_{ee}} = 500 \,\text{GeV}$  collider, and hence our study is limited to the region  $z \ge 2m_t/\sqrt{s} \approx 0.7$ .

Because of the above broad  $\gamma\gamma$  energy distributions, we cannot observe the  $\gamma \gamma \to t\bar{t}$  production cross section<br>at a given  $\gamma \gamma$  energy,  $\sqrt{s}_{\gamma \gamma} \equiv \sqrt{\hat{s}}$ . Instead we should use the invariant mass of the final  $t\bar{t}$  pair system,  $m_{t\bar{t}}$ , as a measure of the colliding  $\gamma\gamma$  energy. Although  $m_{t\bar{t}}$  can in principle measured event by event when a produced  $t\bar{t}$  pair decays hadronically or semi-leptonically, we should expect uncertainties due to finite resolutions and non-Hermiticity of the detector. We introduce the smearing function

$$
G(\sqrt{\hat{s}} - m_{t\bar{t}}, \Delta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\Delta} \exp\left[ -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\sqrt{\hat{s}} - m_{t\bar{t}}}{\Delta} \right)^2 \right]
$$
(4.2)

between the true  $m_{t\bar{t}} = \sqrt{\hat{s}}$  and the  $m_{t\bar{t}}$  as written. The observable cross sections can then be approximated as

$$
\frac{d\sigma}{dm_{t\bar{t}}} \equiv \int_0^{z_m\sqrt{s}} d\sqrt{\hat{s}} \qquad (4.3)
$$
\n
$$
\times \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}_{0.8}} \frac{d\mathcal{L}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2}}{d\sqrt{\hat{s}}} \hat{\sigma}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}(\sqrt{\hat{s}}) G(\sqrt{\hat{s}} - m_{t\bar{t}}, \Delta).
$$

When we set  $\Delta = 0$  GeV, the  $m_{t\bar{t}}$  distributions reproduce the  $\sqrt{s}_{\gamma\gamma}$  distributions.

In (4.3), the  $\gamma\gamma$  luminosity integrated over  $z \geq 0.8z_{\rm m}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{L}_{0.8}$  and the luminosity distribution for each  $\gamma\gamma$ 

helicity combination is expressed by  $d\mathcal{L}^{\lambda_1\lambda_2}/d\sqrt{\hat{s}}$ . Thus, the expected number of events with  $m_{\min} \le m_{t\bar{t}} \le m_{\max}$ is estimated by the formula

$$
N(m_{\min} \le m_{t\bar{t}} \le m_{\max}) = 0.1 L_{ee}^{\text{geom}} \times \int_{m_{\min}}^{m_{\max}} \mathrm{d}m_{t\bar{t}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}m_{t\bar{t}}}.
$$
\n(4.4)

It is notable that the geometric ee luminosity  $L_{ee}^{\text{geom}}$  can be larger than the nominal  $e^+e^-$  luminosity  $L_{ee}$ . According to the TESLA design [2],

$$
L_{ee} = 3 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1},
$$
  
\n
$$
L_{ee}^{\text{geom}} = 12 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}
$$
 (4.5)

at  $\sqrt{s} = 500 \,\text{GeV}$  have been reported.

#### **4.2 Results**

We consider the decay angular distribution of  $t\bar{t}$  pairs produced via  $\gamma\gamma$  collisions, and express the convoluted cross section in terms of four observables,  $\Sigma_1$  to  $\Sigma_4$ , which contain all the information about the  $\gamma\gamma \to t\bar{t}$  helicity amplitudes. When we do not study  $W^+$  and  $W^-$  decay angular distributions, the differential cross sections is expressed as

$$
\frac{d\sigma}{dm_{t\bar{t}} d\cos\theta d\phi d\cos\bar{\theta} d\bar{\phi}}\n= \int d\sqrt{\hat{s}} \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}_{0.8}} \frac{d\mathcal{L}^{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}}{d\sqrt{\hat{s}}} \right) \left( \frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}(\sqrt{\hat{s}})}{d\cos\theta d\phi d\cos\bar{\theta} d\bar{\phi}} \right) \n\times G(\sqrt{\hat{s}} - m_{t\bar{t}}, \Delta)\n\equiv \left\{ \Sigma_1(m_{t\bar{t}}) \left[ (B_L^2 + B_T^2)(1 + \cos\theta \cos\bar{\theta}) \right. \n+ 2B_L B_T (1 - \cos\theta \cos\bar{\theta}) + (B_L^2 - B_T^2)(\cos\theta + \cos\bar{\theta}) \right] \n+ 2B_L B_T (1 - \cos\theta \cos\bar{\theta}) - (B_L^2 - B_T^2)(\cos\theta + \cos\bar{\theta}) \n+ 2B_L B_T (1 - \cos\theta \cos\bar{\theta}) - (B_L^2 - B_T^2)(\cos\theta + \cos\bar{\theta}) \n+ \Sigma_3(m_{t\bar{t}}) \left[ (B_L - B_T)^2 \sin\theta \sin\bar{\theta} \cos(\phi - \bar{\phi}) \right] \n+ \Sigma_4(m_{t\bar{t}}) \left[ -(B_L - B_T)^2 \sin\theta \sin\bar{\theta} \sin(\phi - \bar{\phi}) \right] / 16\pi^2 \n+ \left[ (\sigma = -\bar{\sigma}) \right) \text{ contributions} \right]. \tag{4.6}
$$

Here the small non-resonant contributions from  $\sigma = -\overline{\sigma}$ (RL or LR) events are not shown explicitly. The four coefficients of the distinct decay angular distributions are

$$
\Sigma_i(m_{t\bar{t}}) = \int d\sqrt{\hat{s}} \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}_{0.8}} \frac{d\mathcal{L}^{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}}{d\sqrt{\hat{s}}} \right)
$$
(4.7)  

$$
\times \left( \frac{3\beta}{32\pi \hat{s}} \int S^i_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}(\Theta, \sqrt{\hat{s}}) d\cos\Theta \right) G(\sqrt{\hat{s}} - m_{t\bar{t}}, \Delta),
$$
  
for  $i = 1-4$ ,

where the functions  $S^i_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}$  contain all the information about the  $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow t\bar{t}$  helicity amplitudes:

$$
S_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}^1 = \left| \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}^{\text{RR}} \right|^2,
$$
  
\n
$$
S_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}^2 = \left| \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}^{\text{LL}} \right|^2,
$$
  
\n
$$
S_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}^3 = 2 \text{ Re } \left[ \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}^{\text{RR}} \left( \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}^{\text{LL}} \right)^* \right],
$$
  
\n
$$
S_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}^4 = 2 \text{ Im } \left[ \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}^{\text{RR}} \left( \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}^{\text{LL}} \right)^* \right].
$$
 (4.8)

A few remarks about (4.6) are in order. The compact expression for the differential cross section in terms of the observable  $m_{t\bar{t}}$ , the  $t \to bW^+$  decay angles  $\theta$  and  $\phi$ , and the  $\bar{t} \to \bar{b}W^-$  decay angles  $\bar{\theta}$  and  $\bar{\phi}$  are obtained by integrating out the  $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow t\bar{t}$  scattering angle  $\Theta$ , the  $W^+$  decay angles  $\theta^*$  and  $\phi^*$ , and the  $W^-$  decay angles  $\overline{\theta^*}$  and  $\overline{\phi^*}$ ; see (3.8). We do not lose much information by the integration over  $\cos \Theta$  because the resonant  $J = 0$  amplitudes do not depend on  $\cos \Theta$  and because the  $\cos \Theta$  dependences of the interfering QED amplitudes are mild near the  $t\bar{t}$ threshold;  $\beta = 0.48$  at  $\sqrt{s_{\gamma\gamma}} = 400 \,\text{GeV}$ . As explained in Sect. 3.2, a careful study of  $W^+$  and  $W^-$  decay angular distributions should give us independent measurements of the observables  $\Sigma_1$  to  $\Sigma_4$ , and should therefore reduce the errors.

The four observables  $\Sigma_1$  to  $\Sigma_4$  of (4.6) are shown in Fig. 2 for  $\Delta = 0$  GeV (no smearing by detector resolution), Fig. 3 for  $\Delta = 3 \,\text{GeV}$  and in Fig. 4 for  $\Delta = 6 \,\text{GeV}$ . The predictions of the  $A$  and  $H$  productions are shown by thick solid and thick dashed curves, respectively. The QED predictions are shown by the thin solid lines. The quantity  $\Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2$  is simply the total  $t\bar{t}$  production cross section, smeared by the resolution factor of  $\Delta$ . We show  $\Sigma_2$  instead of  $\Sigma_1$  because the A and H production amplitudes interfere with the QED amplitudes differently in the  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = +$  to  $\sigma = \overline{\sigma} = L$  amplitudes.

When we draw the predictions of  $A$  and  $H$  productions in Figs. 2, 3 and 4, we adopt a MSSM prediction for the A production, while the H production curves are drawn by using the amplitudes  $\mathcal{M}_H$  which are obtained from the  $\mathcal{M}_A$  for the same mass and width and the same magnitudes for the partial widths to  $\gamma\gamma$  and  $t\bar{t}$ . The MSSM parameters used for calculating  $\mathcal{M}_A$  are as follows:  $m_A = 400 \,\text{GeV}$ ,  $\tan \beta = 3$ ,  $m_{\tilde{f}} = 1 \text{ TeV}$ ,  $M_2 = 500 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $\mu = -500 \text{ GeV}$ . We find  $m_A = 400 \,\text{GeV}, T_A = 1.75 \,\text{GeV}, \,\text{Br}(A \to \gamma \gamma) =$  $1.53 \times 10^{-5}$  and Br( $A \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ ) = 0.946 for the above parameters [19]. The H production amplitudes  $\mathcal{M}_H$  are thus obtained from  $\mathcal{M}_A$  by keeping the mass, width and partial widths common in order to show clearly the sensitivity of the four observables to the CP property of the produced spinless boson. For the collider parameters, we use  $E_e = 250 \,\text{GeV}, P_l = -1.0, P_e = 0.9 \text{ and } x = 4.8, \text{ where}$ the colliding photons are highly polarized – they are  $+$ around  $\sqrt{\hat{s}} = 400 \,\text{GeV}$ ; see Fig. 1. Since the effects from the  $(\lambda_1 \lambda_2) = (+-), (-+)$  and  $(--)$  combinations on the observables are less than  $1\%$  around the peak region, they are neglected here. In this limit, the quantities  $S_{1-4}$  in (4.8) can be expressed by  $\mathcal{M}_t$  and  $\mathcal{M}_\phi$  as

$$
S_{++}^1 = |\mathcal{M}_t|^2 + |\mathcal{M}_{\phi}|^2 + 2\mathcal{M}_t \operatorname{Re} [\mathcal{M}_{\phi}], \qquad (4.9)
$$



**Fig. 2.** The observables  $\Sigma_1$  to  $\Sigma_4$  with no smearing by detector resolution. The thick solid (dashed) curves show the predictions for the  $A(H)$  production. The thin solid curves show the QED predictions with no Higgs production

$$
S_{++}^2 = \left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right)^2 |\mathcal{M}_t|^2 + |\mathcal{M}_\phi|^2
$$
  

$$
\pm 2\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\mathcal{M}_t \operatorname{Re}[\mathcal{M}_\phi], \tag{4.10}
$$

$$
S_{++}^{3} = 2\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}|\mathcal{M}_{t}|^{2} \pm 2|\mathcal{M}_{\phi}|^{2}
$$

$$
+ 2\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\pm 1\right)\mathcal{M}_{t} \operatorname{Re}[\mathcal{M}_{\phi}], \qquad (4.11)
$$

$$
S_{++}^4 = 2\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta} \mp 1\right) \mathcal{M}_t \operatorname{Im} \left[\mathcal{M}_\phi\right],\tag{4.12}
$$

where the upper and lower signs are adopted for  $A$  and  $H$ , respectively.

Let us now examine carefully the results shown in Figs. 2 to 4. For the total production cross section  $\Sigma_1+\Sigma_2$ , it can be clearly observed in Fig. 2 that the A production amplitudes receive stronger constructive (destructive) interference below (above) the resonance peak than the H production amplitudes. A sharp dip above the resonance peak for the A production line shape may be considered as a signal of a CP-odd resonance production. However, the difference between the  $A$  and  $H$  line shapes diminishes by smearing. A hint of strong destructive interference survives in Fig. 3 for the smearing with  $\Delta = 3 \,\text{GeV}$ , but the difference essentially disappears in Fig. 4 for  $\Delta = 6$  GeV. The two thick curves for  $\Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2$  in Fig. 4 can only tell us of a broad enhancement over the QED prediction, which may be fitted well by both  $A$  and  $H$  production assumptions with slightly different mass and width values.

The quantity  $\Sigma_2$  shows not only a large contribution of the Higgs production but also the interference effects which have an opposite contribution for the A and H production. The magnitudes of the effects are small because



**Fig. 3.** The observables  $\Sigma_1$  to  $\Sigma_4$  with the  $t\bar{t}$  invariant mass measurement resolution factor  $\Delta = 3 \text{ GeV}$ . The thick solid (dashed) curves show the predictions for the  $A(H)$  production. The thin solid curves show the QED predictions with no Higgs production

the QED amplitude which interferes with the Higgs production amplitudes is suppressed by the factor of  $\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}$ ; see<br>Table 2. Here the distinctive signature of the positive in Table 2. Here the distinctive signature of the negative interference below the resonance for the  $H$  production may survive even for the resolution of  $\Delta = 6$  GeV in Fig. 4.

The interference effects we observe in the  $\Sigma_3$  is larger for A than for H due to the factor of  $\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta} \pm 1$  in (4.11). A sharp dip for the A production line shape and a small excess for the H production line shape above the resonance peaks are the effects. The destructive interference effect for A may survive even in Fig. 4 for  $\Delta = 6$  GeV, whereas the small constructive interference effect for H almost disappears in Fig. 4. It is notable that the effects of the Higgs production has opposite signs for  $A$  and  $H$  in (4.11). This oppositeness causes the A production to enhance  $\Sigma_3$  above the QED prediction near the peak of the total cross section  $\Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2$ , whereas the H production predicts smaller  $\Sigma_3$  than the QED prediction around the peak of the cross section. This feature seems to persist even with faint  $t\bar{t}$ mass resolution, in Fig. 3 for  $\Delta = 3 \,\text{GeV}$  and Fig. 4 for  $\Delta = 6$  GeV.

As for  $\Sigma_4$ , the pure interference effects can be observed. The QED amplitudes predict  $\Sigma_4=0$  because we adopt the tree-level amplitudes in our analysis<sup>2</sup>. The  $A$  production predicts negative and the H production predicts positive effects for  $\Sigma_4$  around the production peak. The difference in the magnitudes comes from the factor of  $\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta} \mp 1$  in (4.12). These characteristics appear even considering the (4.12). These characteristics appear even considering the detector resolution as is shown in Fig. 3 for  $\Delta = 3 \,\text{GeV}$ and Fig. 4 for  $\Delta = 6 \,\text{GeV}$ . The imaginary part of the interference term,  $\Sigma_4$ , discriminates between A and H most clearly.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The continuum  $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow t \bar t$  amplitudes should have imaginary parts of the order of  $\alpha_s$  in QCD perturbation theory



**Fig. 4.** The observables  $\Sigma_1$  to  $\Sigma_4$  with the  $t\bar{t}$  invariant mass measurement resolution factor  $\Delta = 6$  GeV. The thick solid (dashed) curves show the predictions for the  $A(H)$  production. The thin solid curves show the QED predictions with no Higgs production

Summing up, we have made the following observation in this subsection. The  $m_{t\bar{t}}$  dependence of the total production cross section,  $\Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2$ , can in principle reveal the difference between A and H productions, as shown in Fig. 2. However the distinctive signatures of the A productions, the constructive interference below the resonance and the pronounced destructive interference above the resonance diminish as the  $m_{t\bar{t}}$  measurement resolution becomes worse going to  $\Delta = 3 \,\text{GeV}$  (Fig. 3) and to  $\Delta = 6 \,\text{GeV}$  (Fig. 4). It is only the tiny destructive interference effects above the resonance in Fig. 4 which signal the production of A rather than H. The situation slightly improves by observing the  $\Sigma_2$  component by selecting those events where the produced top quarks are both left-handed. Here the distinctive signature of the negative interference below the resonance for the H production may survive even for the resolution of  $\Delta = 6$  GeV in Fig. 4. The cross section for  $t_L \overline{t}_L$ production, however, is rather small as compared to the dominant  $t_R\bar{t}_R$  production, because of the  $(1 - \beta)/(1 + \beta)$ suppression factor in the corresponding QED amplitude; see Table 2. Further information is obtained by studying the interference between the  $t_{\rm R}$  $\bar{t}_{\rm R}$  and the  $t_{\rm L}$  $\bar{t}_{\rm L}$  amplitudes in the observables  $\Sigma_3$  and  $\Sigma_4$ . The real part of the interference term,  $\Sigma_3$ , shows that the A production enhances  $\Sigma_3$  above the QED prediction near the peak of the total cross section,  $\Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2$ , whereas the H production predicts a smaller  $\Sigma_3$  than the QED prediction around the peak of the cross section. This feature seems to persist even with faint  $t\bar{t}$  mass resolution, in Fig. 3 for  $\Delta = 3 \,\text{GeV}$ and Fig. 4 for  $\Delta = 6$  GeV. Finally the imaginary part of the interference term,  $\Sigma_4$ , discriminates between A and H most clearly. The A production predicts negative and the H production predicts positive effects for  $\Sigma_4$  around the production peak. We therefore propose to use the four

**Table 3.** The values of  $b_{\gamma}^{A}$  and  $b_{\gamma}^{H}$ . The loops of t, b, W,  $\widetilde{\gamma}$  and  $\widetilde{\gamma}$  aive a large contribution to  $b^{H}$  and  $b^{A}$  under our  $\tilde{\chi}_1^-$  and  $\tilde{\chi}_2^-$  give a large contribution to  $b_\gamma^H$  and  $b_\gamma^A$  under our parameterization;  $m_A = 400 \,\text{GeV}$ ,  $\tan \beta = 3$ ,  $M_2 = 500 \,\text{GeV}$ ,  $\mu = -500 \,\text{GeV}$  and  $M_{\tilde{f}} = 1 \,\text{TeV}$ .  $m_H = 403.8 \,\text{GeV}$  for the above parameters

	$b^A_\gamma \times 10^4$	$b_\gamma^H \times 10^4$
total	$14 + 12i$	$11 + 1.3i$
t.	$15 + 12i$	$12 + 3.3i$
h	$-0.19 + 0.15i$	$0.18 - 0.15i$
W	0.0	$-1.0 - 1.7i$
$\widetilde{\chi}^-_1$	$-1.1$	$-1.2$
$\overline{\chi_{2}}$	0.51	1.0

observables  $\Sigma_1$  to  $\Sigma_4$  in determining the CP property of the spin zero resonance in the  $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow tt$  channel.

In the above discussion, we studied four observables separately. Once they are derived individually, we can obtain arbitrary linear combinations of them. The most powerful combinations for probing the CP parity of Higgs bosons are  $\Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3$  and  $\Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 - \Sigma_3$ . The former combination receives a contribution only from the CP-odd resonance, the latter one only from the CP-even resonance when  $\overline{CP}$  is conserved. It is therefore straightforward to separate the CP-even and CP-odd resonances, even when their masses are degenerate.

#### **4.3 Effects of the** *γγφ* **phase on the observables**

In this subsection, we study the  $arg(b_{\gamma}^{\phi})$  dependence of the four observables studied in the previous subsection. We first re-parameterize the  $J_z = 0$  amplitudes of (2.2) as follows:

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\sigma\sigma} = [\mathcal{M}_t]_{\lambda\lambda}^{\sigma\sigma}
$$
\n
$$
+ \left(\frac{\sqrt{\hat{s}}}{m_\phi}\right)^3 r_\phi \cdot \mathbf{i} \left[1 + \exp\left(2\mathbf{i} \tan^{-1} \frac{s^2 - m_\phi^2}{m_\phi \Gamma_\phi}\right)\right],
$$
\n(4.13)

where

$$
r_H = \sigma \beta b_\gamma^H d_t^H m_H / (2\Gamma_H)
$$
 and  $r_A = \lambda b_\gamma^A d_t^A m_A / (2\Gamma_A)$ .

In this expression, the phase of the Breit–Wigner resonance amplitude is shifted by the phase of the  $r_{\phi}$  factor which is essentially the phase of the  $\gamma\gamma\phi$  vertex factor  $b^{\phi}_{\gamma}$  if we neglect the phase in the  $t\bar{t}\phi$  vertex  $d_t^{\phi}$ . It should also be noted that

$$
|r_{\phi}|^2 = \frac{32\pi^2}{3\beta} \text{Br}(\phi \to \gamma\gamma) \text{Br}(\phi \to t\bar{t}). \tag{4.14}
$$

In the above discussions, we draw the  $H$  production curves by assuming not only  $m_H = m_A$ ,  $\Gamma_H = \Gamma_A$  and  $Br(H \to \gamma\gamma)Br(H \to t\bar{t}) = Br(A \to \gamma\gamma)Br(A \to t\bar{t}),$  but also that the  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow H$  amplitude is proportional to the  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow A$  amplitude as a complex number,

$$
b_{\gamma}^{H} = b_{\gamma}^{A} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(H \to \gamma \gamma)}{\Gamma(A \to \gamma \gamma)} \right]^{1/2}.
$$
 (4.15)



Re[M]

**Fig. 5a,b.** The *s* dependence of the  $\gamma \gamma \to t\bar{t}$  amplitudes  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{\sigma \sigma}$  at  $\Theta = 0^{\circ}$ . The amplitudes with A production are shown in the left figure, whereas those for H production are shown in the right. The left figure, whereas those for H production are shown in the right. The cases of  $\arg(b_{\gamma}^{\phi})=0$  and  $\pi/4$  are denoted by the solid and dashed circles, respectively. The small arrows indicate the direction of increasing  $\hat{s}$  and the solid and open small circles on the trajectories show the  $\hat{s} = m_{\phi}^2$  points. As  $\hat{s}$  grows the amplitudes make counterclockwise trajectories, and the magnitude of the resonance amplitude hits its maximum at  $\hat{s} = m_{\phi}^2$ 

We note here that the phase of the  $H \to \gamma\gamma$  amplitude,  $arg(b_{\gamma}^{H})$ , and that of the  $A \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  amplitude,  $arg(b_{\gamma}^{A})$ , depend significantly in the model parameters. As an example, we show in Table 3 the MSSM prediction for the real and imaginary parts of  $b^A_\gamma$  and  $b^H_\gamma$ . Here, we calculate the A and  $H$  masses and couplings for the MSSM parameters;  $m_A = 400 \,\text{GeV}, \tan \beta = 3, \, m_{\tilde{f}} = 1 \,\text{TeV}, \, M_2 = 500 \,\text{GeV},$  $\mu = -500 \,\text{GeV}$ . We find that  $\arg(b_\gamma^A)$  is much larger than  $arg(b_{\gamma}^{H})$ . The large imaginary part of  $b_{\gamma}^{A}$  is a result of the s-wave  $A \rightarrow t\bar{t}$  decay near the  $t\bar{t}$  production threshold. The imaginary part of  $b_{\gamma}^H$  is suppressed by the *p*-wave  $H \to t\bar{t}$  decay and also by the partial cancellation due to the  $H \to W^+W^-$  contribution. Therefore, in the framework of the two Higgs doublet model without any new particles which contribute to the vertex significantly, the A boson has a relatively large phase and the H boson has a tiny phase. Because the imaginary part of the  $\phi \to \gamma\gamma$ amplitude is a sum of the contribution from the  $\phi$  decay modes into charged particles whereas the real part receives contribution from all the charged particles, we expect that  $arg(b_{\gamma}^{\phi})$  is a good probe of heavy charged particles.

Figure 5 shows plots of the amplitudes  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\sigma\sigma}$  on the complex plane where the scattering angle  $\Theta$  is fixed to zero as a sample. The amplitudes with the  $A(H)$  production is in the left (right) side. Since the tree amplitudes  $[M_t]_{\lambda\lambda}^{\sigma\sigma}$  are real and almost constant around the resonance, the plots draw a counterclockwise circle which have the beginningand end-points on the real axis as  $\hat{s}$  increases. The circles which have the beginning-points nearer (further) from the origin correspond to  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{-\lambda,-\lambda}$  ( $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\lambda\lambda}$ ). Two cases of  $\arg(b_{\gamma}^{\phi})$ are considered. One is the case where  $b_{\gamma}^{\phi}$  has no phase (solid curves), the other is  $\arg(b_{\gamma}^{\phi}) = \pi/4$  (dashed curves). The solid and open small circles on the trajectories indicate the  $\hat{s} = m_{\phi}^2$  points. When  $m_{\phi}^2 - \hat{s} \gg m_{\phi} \Gamma_{\phi}$ , the amplitudes are real positive numbers that are determined by the QED amplitudes of Table 1. As  $\hat{s}$  grows, the amplitudes



**Fig. 6.** The observables  $\Sigma_1$  to  $\Sigma_4$  with no smearing by detector resolution. The solid, dashed, dot dashed and dotted curves are  $\Sigma_1$ ,  $\Sigma_2$ ,  $\Sigma_3$  and  $\Sigma_4$ , respectively. The observables with A production are in the left (right) figures whereas those with the  $H$  production are shown in the right. The upper and lower figures show the case of  $\arg(r_{\phi}) = 0$  and  $\pi/4$ , respectively

make counterclockwise trajectories, and the magnitude of the resonance amplitude hits its maximum at  $\hat{s} = m_{\phi}^2$ . At  $m_{\phi}^2 - \hat{s} \ll m_{\phi} \Gamma_{\phi}$ , the amplitudes reduce to the real and positive QED amplitude again. The trajectories do not close because of the mild  $\hat{s}$  dependence of the QED amplitudes.

The magnitudes of  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\sigma\sigma}$  have peaks at the furthest points from the origin on the trajectories. The  $\sqrt{\hat{s}}$  values at which the amplitudes have the largest magnitude are almost similar between  $\mathcal{M}^{\lambda\lambda}_{\lambda\lambda}$  and  $\mathcal{M}^{-\lambda,-\lambda}_{\lambda\lambda}$  for the A production (slightly below the  $\hat{s} = m_A^2$  point), while they are

significantly different for the  $H$  production because the signs of the imaginary parts are opposite for  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{-\lambda,-\lambda}$  and  $\mathcal{M}^{\lambda\lambda}_{\lambda\lambda}$ . The amplitude of  $\mathcal{M}^{\lambda\lambda}_{\lambda\lambda}$  becomes maximum slightly below the  $\hat{s} = m_H^2$  point, but that of  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{-\lambda,-\lambda}$  hits the maximum at  $\hat{s} > m_H^2$ .

When we compare the  $arg(b_{\gamma}^{A})=0$  amplitudes (solid circles) and the  $\arg(b_\gamma^A) = \pi/4$  amplitudes (dashed circles), we notice that the magnitudes of all the amplitudes are reduced for  $\arg(b_\gamma^A) > 0$  because the imaginary parts of the resonant amplitudes are positive for  $\arg(b_\gamma^A) = 0$ . It is notable that at  $\hat{s} = m_A^2$  (solid and open circles along the trajectries), the real part of the  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{-\lambda,-\lambda}$  amplitudes become negative when  $arg(b_{\gamma}^A) = \pi/4$ . In the case of the  $\phi = H$  amplitudes shown in Fig. 5b, the most notable feature is that the magnitudes of the  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{-\lambda,-\lambda}$  amplitudes increase for  $\arg(b_\gamma^A) > 0$  because the sign of the imaginary part of the  $H$  resonant amplitude is negative for these amplitudes. On the other hand, the magnitudes of the  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\lambda\lambda}$  amplitudes decrease for  $\arg(b_\gamma^A) > 0$  as in the case for the A production amplitudes.

We show in Fig. 6 the four observables  $\Sigma_1$  to  $\Sigma_4$  for the A production on the left, and for the H production on the right-hand side. The predictions for  $arg(b_x^{\phi}) = 0$  are shown in the top figures, whereas those for  $\arg(b_x^{\phi}) = \pi/4$ are shown in the bottom figures.

We find that the features which are sensitive to the  $CP$  parity of the spinless boson  $\phi$ , such as the interference pattern of  $\Sigma_3$  and  $\Sigma_4$  near the resonances, remain stable against varying of  $\arg(b^{\phi}_{\gamma})$  between 0 and  $\pi/4$ . On the other hand the  $\arg(b_{\gamma}^{\phi})$  dependence of the four observables are significant enough that the phase of the  $\gamma\gamma\phi$  vertex function may be measured experimentally by a careful study of all the observables.

## **5 Conclusions**

We have studied the effects of heavy Higgs bosons in the  $t\bar{t}$  production process at a PLC. We have introduced observables which include a new type of interference by considering the angular correlation of decay products of top quarks, and we found that they are useful for probing the CP nature of the produced Higgs boson. It has also been shown that variation in the complex phase of the  $\gamma\gamma\phi$  vertex modify the magnitudes of the observables and the  $\sqrt{\hat{s}}$ values where the observables have peaks and bottoms.

Further studies on the cases where the Higgs sector has  $CP$  non-conservation and/or a degenerate pair of heavy neutral bosons will be reported elsewhere [15]. The present study may motivate a careful study of the experimental resolution of the  $t\bar{t}$  invariant mass measurements as well as a quantitative study of the accuracy of the resonance parameters,  $m_{\phi}$ ,  $\Gamma_{\phi}$ ,  $\text{Br}(\phi \to \gamma \gamma) \text{Br}(\phi \to t\bar{t})$ ,  $\arg(b_{\gamma}^{\phi} d_{t}^{\phi})$ , and its CP parity.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank T. Takahashi and I. Watanabe for useful comments. The work of EA is supported in part by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from MEXT, Japan.

# **A Amplitude for the process**  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow t\bar{t} \rightarrow bf_1\overline{f_2}\ \overline{b}f_3\overline{f_4}$

We describe the helicity amplitudes for the process  $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow$  $t\bar{t} \rightarrow bf_1\overline{f_2} \ \overline{b}f_3\overline{f_4}$  by

$$
\mathbf{M}_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}(\Theta; \theta, \phi, \overline{\theta}, \overline{\phi}; \theta^*, \phi^*, \overline{\theta}^*, \overline{\phi}^*)
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{\sigma=L, R} \sum_{A=-,0} \sum_{\overline{A}=0,+} \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}^{\sigma \overline{\sigma}}(\Theta)
$$
\n
$$
\times D_{\sigma}^{\Lambda}(\theta, \phi) \overline{D}_{\overline{\sigma}}^{\overline{\Lambda}}(\overline{\theta}, \overline{\phi}) W_{\Lambda}(\theta^*, \phi^*) \overline{W}_{\overline{\Lambda}}(\overline{\theta}^*, \overline{\phi}^*),
$$
\n(A.1)

in the zero-width limit of the top quark and the W bosons. Here  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$  are the helicities of the colliding photons,  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{\sigma\overline{\sigma}}(\Theta)$  is the  $\gamma(\lambda_1)\gamma(\lambda_2) \to t(\sigma)\overline{t}(\overline{\sigma})$  scattering am-<br>plitudes at the scattering angle  $\Theta$  in the  $\gamma\gamma$  collision CM frame,  $D^A_\sigma$  and  $\overline{D^A_{\overline{\sigma}}}$  are the decay amplitudes for the processes  $t_{\sigma} \to bW_A^+$  and  $\bar{t}_{\overline{\sigma}} \to bW_A^-$  in the t and  $\bar{t}$  rest frame, respectively.  $W_A$  and  $W_{\overline{A}}$  are the decay amplitudes for the processes  $W_A^+ \to f_1 f_2$  and  $W_A^- \to f_3 f_4$  in the decaying W rest frames, in the massless fermion limit  $(m_{f_i} = 0)$ . The decay amplitudes have the following simple forms in the phase connection of [13, 20]:

$$
D_{\rm L}^{0} = \sqrt{\frac{B_{\rm L}}{2\pi}} \sin \frac{\theta}{2}, \quad D_{\rm L}^{-} = \sqrt{\frac{B_{\rm T}}{2\pi}} \cos \frac{\theta}{2},
$$
  
\n
$$
D_{\rm R}^{0} = \sqrt{\frac{B_{\rm L}}{2\pi}} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\phi}, \quad D_{\rm R}^{-} = -\sqrt{\frac{B_{\rm T}}{2\pi}} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\phi},
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{D}_{\rm L}^{0} = -\sqrt{\frac{B_{\rm L}}{2\pi}} \sin \frac{\overline{\theta}}{2}, \quad \overline{D}_{\rm L}^{+} = \sqrt{\frac{B_{\rm T}}{2\pi}} \cos \frac{\overline{\theta}}{2}, \quad (A.2)
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{D}_{\rm R}^{0} = -\sqrt{\frac{B_{\rm L}}{2\pi}} \cos \frac{\overline{\theta}}{2} e^{-i\overline{\phi}}, \quad \overline{D}_{\rm R}^{+} = -\sqrt{\frac{B_{\rm T}}{2\pi}} \sin \frac{\overline{\theta}}{2} e^{-i\overline{\phi}},
$$

and

$$
W_0 = \sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}B_{12}}\sin\theta^*, \quad W_- = \sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}B_{12}}\frac{1-\cos\theta^*}{\sqrt{2}}e^{-i\phi^*},
$$
  

$$
\overline{W}_0 = \sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}B_{34}}\sin\overline{\theta}^*, \quad \overline{W}_+ = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}B_{34}}\frac{1-\cos\overline{\theta}^*}{\sqrt{2}}e^{i\overline{\phi}^*}.
$$

Here the decay amplitudes are normalized by

$$
\int |D_{\sigma}^-|^2 d\cos\theta d\phi = \int |\overline{D}_{\overline{\sigma}}^+|^2 d\cos\overline{\theta} d\overline{\phi}
$$

$$
= B_{\rm T} = \frac{2m_W^2}{m_t^2 + 2m_W^2},
$$

$$
\int |D_{\sigma}^0|^2 d\cos\theta d\phi = \int |\overline{D}_{\overline{\sigma}}^0|^2 d\cos\overline{\theta} d\overline{\phi}
$$

$$
=B_{\rm L}=\frac{m_t^2}{m_t^2+2m_W^2}\qquad \ \ \, \rm (A.3)
$$

and

$$
\int |W_A|^2 \mathrm{d}\cos\theta^* \mathrm{d}\phi^* = B_{12},\tag{A.4}
$$

$$
\int |\overline{W}_{\overline{A}}|^2 \mathrm{d}\cos\overline{\theta}^* \mathrm{d}\overline{\phi}^* = B_{34},
$$

where  $B_{12}$  is the branching fraction of  $W^+ \to f_1 \overline{f}_2$  decays, and  $B_{34}$  is that of  $W^- \to f_3 \overline{f}_4$ . The angles  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  ( $\overline{\theta}$ and  $\overline{\phi}$ ) are, respectively, the polar and azimuthal angles of  $W^+$  ( $W^-$ ) in the  $t$  ( $\bar{t}$ ) rest frame where the common polar axis is chosen along the t momentum direction in the  $\gamma\gamma$  collision CM frame, and the azimuthal angles  $\phi$ and  $\phi$  are measured from the  $\gamma\gamma \to t\bar{t}$  scattering plane.  $\theta^*$ and  $\phi^*$  are, respectively, the polar and azimuthal angles of  $\overline{f}_2$  in the  $W^+ \to f_1 \overline{f}_2$  decay rest frame, whereas  $\overline{\theta}^*$ and  $\overline{\phi}^*$  are those of  $f_3$  in the  $W^- \to f_3 \overline{f}_4$  rest frame. We choose the  $\overline{f}_4$  and  $f_5$  moments in the shows decays so that choose the  $f_2$  and  $f_3$  momenta in the above decays so that<br>the angles are those of the charged lentons in the decays the angles are those of the charged leptons in the decays  $W^+ \to \nu_l l^+$  and  $W^- \to l^- \overline{\nu}_l$ . The polar axes are chosen along the  $W^{\pm}$  momentum in the parent t or  $\bar{t}$  rest frame, while the azimuthal angles  $\phi^*$  and  $\overline{\phi}^*$  are measured from the  $t \to bW^+$  and  $\bar{t} \to \bar{b}W^-$  decay planes, respectively, in the  $\gamma\gamma$  collision CM frame.

The amplitudes (A.1) can now be expressed solely in terms of the  $\gamma\gamma \to t\bar{\tilde{t}}$  amplitudes  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{\sigma\bar{\sigma}}(\Theta)$ :

$$
M_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}(\Theta; \theta, \phi, \overline{\theta}, \overline{\phi}; \theta^*, \phi^*, \overline{\theta}^*, \overline{\phi}^*) / \left(\frac{3}{16\pi^2}\sqrt{B_{12}B_{34}}\right)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{\text{LL}}(\Theta) \left\{-B_{\text{L}}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\overline{\theta}}{2}\sin\theta^*\sin\overline{\theta}^*\right\} \qquad (A.5)
$$
  
\n
$$
- \sqrt{B_{\text{L}}B_{\text{T}}}\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\overline{\theta}}{2}\frac{1-\cos\theta^*}{\sqrt{2}}\sin\overline{\theta}^*\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\phi^*}
$$
  
\n
$$
- \sqrt{B_{\text{L}}B_{\text{T}}}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\overline{\theta}}{2}\sin\theta^*\frac{1-\cos\overline{\theta}^*}{\sqrt{2}}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\overline{\phi}^*}
$$
  
\n
$$
- B_{\text{T}}\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\overline{\theta}}{2}\frac{(1-\cos\theta^*)(1-\cos\overline{\theta}^*)}{2}
$$
  
\n
$$
\times \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}(\phi^*-\overline{\phi}^*)}\right\}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{\text{RR}}(\Theta) \left\{-B_{\text{L}}\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\overline{\theta}}{2}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\phi-\overline{\phi})}\sin\theta^*\sin\overline{\theta}^* + \sqrt{B_{\text{L}}B_{\text{T}}}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\overline{\theta}}{2}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\phi-\overline{\phi})}\frac{1-\cos\theta^*}{\sqrt{2}}\sin\overline{\theta}^*\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\phi^*}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \sqrt{B_{\text{L}}B_{\text{T}}}\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\overline{\theta}}{2}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\phi-\overline{\phi})}\sin\theta^*\frac{1-\cos\overline{\theta}^*}{\sqrt{2}}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\overline{\phi}^*
$$

$$
\times e^{-i(\phi^* - \overline{\phi}^*)}\n+ M_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}^{\text{LR}}(\theta) \left\{-B_{\text{L}} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{\overline{\theta}}{2} e^{-i\overline{\phi}} \sin \theta^* \sin \overline{\theta}^* \right.\n- \sqrt{B_{\text{L}}B_{\text{T}}} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{\overline{\theta}}{2} e^{-i\overline{\phi}} \frac{1 - \cos \theta^*}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \overline{\theta}^* e^{-i\phi^*}\n+ \sqrt{B_{\text{L}}B_{\text{T}}} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\overline{\theta}}{2} e^{-i\overline{\phi}} \sin \theta^* \frac{1 - \cos \overline{\theta}^*}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\overline{\phi}^*}\n+ B_{\text{T}} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\overline{\theta}}{2} e^{-i\overline{\phi}} \frac{(1 - \cos \theta^*)(1 - \cos \overline{\theta}^*)}{2}\n\times e^{-i(\phi^* - \overline{\phi}^*)}\n+ M_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}^{\text{RL}}(\theta) \left\{-B_{\text{L}} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\overline{\theta}}{2} e^{i\phi} \sin \theta^* \sin \overline{\theta}^* \right.\n+ \sqrt{B_{\text{L}}B_{\text{T}}} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\overline{\theta}}{2} e^{i\phi} \frac{1 - \cos \theta^*}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \overline{\theta}^* e^{-i\phi^*}\n- \sqrt{B_{\text{L}}B_{\text{T}}} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{\overline{\theta}}{2} e^{i\phi} \sin \theta^* \frac{1 - \cos \overline{\theta}^*}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\overline{\phi}^*}\n+ B_{\text{T}} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{\overline{\theta}}{2} e^{i\phi} \frac{(1 - \cos \theta^*)(1 - \cos \overline{\theta}^*)}{2}\n\times e^{-i(\phi^* - \overline{\phi}^*)}\n+ B_{\text{T}} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{\overline{\theta}}{2} e^{i\phi} \frac{(1 - \cos \theta^*)(1 - \cos \overline{\theta}^*)}{2}\n+ B_{\text{T}} \sin \
$$

The differential cross section of (3.8) is now expressed in terms of the  $\gamma\gamma \to t\bar{t}$  amplitudes  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{\sigma\overline{\sigma}}(\Theta)$ .

# **B Cross section for the process**  $\gamma\gamma\to t\bar{t}\to bW^+\bar{b}W^-$

By using the  $W^+$  and  $W^-$  decay angular distributions of (3.8) and Appendix A, one can project out the polarized  $W^+W^-$  production cross sections. The cross section for the process  $\gamma(\lambda_1)\gamma(\lambda_2) \to t\bar{t} \to bW^+(\Lambda)\bar{b}W^-(\bar{\Lambda})$  is expressed by

$$
\frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}}{d\cos\theta d\cos\theta d\phi d\cos\overline{\theta}d\overline{\phi}}\n= \frac{\beta}{32\pi\hat{s}}\sum_{\Lambda=0,-}\sum_{\overline{\Lambda}=0,+} \left|\mathbf{M}^{\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}(\Theta;\theta,\phi,\overline{\theta},\overline{\phi})\right|^2, \quad (B.1)
$$

where

$$
\left| \mathbf{M}^{\Lambda \overline{A}}_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} (\Theta; \theta, \phi, \overline{\theta}, \overline{\phi}) \right|^2 \qquad (B.2)
$$
  

$$
= \left| \mathcal{M}^{\text{RR}}_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \right|^2 \left| D^A_{\text{R}} \right|^2 \left| \overline{D}^{\overline{A}}_{\text{R}} \right|^2 + \left| \mathcal{M}^{\text{LL}}_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \right|^2 \left| D^A_{\text{L}} \right|^2 \left| \overline{D}^{\overline{A}}_{\text{L}} \right|^2
$$
  

$$
+ \left| \mathcal{M}^{\text{RL}}_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \right|^2 \left| D^A_{\text{R}} \right|^2 \left| \overline{D}^{\overline{A}}_{\text{L}} \right|^2 + \left| \mathcal{M}^{\text{LR}}_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \right|^2 \left| D^A_{\text{L}} \right|^2 \left| \overline{D}^{\overline{A}}_{\text{R}} \right|^2
$$

+ 2 Re 
$$
[\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{RR} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{LL*}]
$$
 Re  $\left[D_R^A \overline{D}_R^{\overline{A}} D_L^{A*} \overline{D}_L^{\overline{A}*}\right]$   
\n- 2 Im  $[\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{RR} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{LL*}]$  Im  $\left[D_R^A \overline{D}_R^{\overline{A}} D_L^{A*} \overline{D}_L^{\overline{A}*}\right]$   
\n+ 2 Re  $[\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{RR} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{RL*}]$  Re  $\left[D_R^A \overline{D}_R^{\overline{A}} D_R^{A*} \overline{D}_L^{\overline{A}*}\right]$   
\n- 2 Im  $[\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{RR} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{RL*}]$  Im  $\left[D_R^A \overline{D}_R^{\overline{A}} D_R^{A*} \overline{D}_L^{\overline{A}*}\right]$   
\n+ 2 Re  $[\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{RR} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{LR*}]$  Re  $\left[D_R^A \overline{D}_R^{\overline{A}} D_L^{A*} \overline{D}_R^{\overline{A}*}\right]$   
\n- 2 Im  $[\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{RR} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{LR*}]$  Im  $\left[D_R^A \overline{D}_R^{\overline{A}} D_L^{A*} \overline{D}_R^{\overline{A}*}\right]$   
\n+ 2 Re  $[\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{LL} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{RL*}]$  Re  $\left[D_L^A \overline{D}_L^{\overline{A}} D_R^{A*} \overline{D}_L^{\overline{A}*}\right]$   
\n- 2 Im  $[\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{LL} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{LR*}]$  Re  $\left[D_L^A \overline{D}_L$ 

It is helpful to write down the squared amplitudes in the case where  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$ , because a high luminosity and a high degree of  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$  polarization for energetic two photon pairs can be achieved at a PLC by choosing a right combination of the laser and the  $e^-$  beam polarizations. We find

$$
|\mathbf{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{00}|^2
$$
  
=  $\left\{ |\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{RR}|^2 \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \cos^2 \frac{\overline{\theta}}{2} + |\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{LL}|^2 \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \sin^2 \frac{\overline{\theta}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}[\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{RR} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{LL*}] \sin \theta \sin \overline{\theta} \cos(\phi - \overline{\phi}) \right\}$  (B.3)  
-  $\frac{1}{2} \text{Im}[\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{RR} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{LL*}] \sin \theta \sin \overline{\theta} \sin(\phi - \overline{\phi}) \right\} \times \frac{B_L^2}{4\pi^2},$   

$$
|\mathbf{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{0+}|^2
$$
  
=  $\left\{ |\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{RR}|^2 \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \sin^2 \frac{\overline{\theta}}{2} + |\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{LL}|^2 \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \cos^2 \frac{\overline{\theta}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}[\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{RR} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{LL*}] \sin \theta \sin \overline{\theta} \cos(\phi - \overline{\phi}) \right\}$  (B.4)

$$
\label{eq:4.13} \begin{split} & +\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Im}[\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\mathrm{RR}}\cdot\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\mathrm{LL}*}]\sin\theta\sin\overline{\theta}\sin(\phi-\overline{\phi})\bigg\}\times\frac{B_{\mathrm{L}}B_{\mathrm{T}}}{4\pi^2},\\ & |\mathbf{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{-0}|^2 \end{split}
$$

$$
= \left\{ |\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{RR}}|^2 \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \cos^2 \frac{\overline{\theta}}{2} + |\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{LL}}|^2 \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \sin^2 \frac{\overline{\theta}}{2} \n- \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}[\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{RR}} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{LL}*}] \sin \theta \sin \overline{\theta} \cos(\phi - \overline{\phi}) \right. \qquad (B.5)\n+ \frac{1}{2} \text{Im}[\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{RR}} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{LL}*}] \sin \theta \sin \overline{\theta} \sin(\phi - \overline{\phi}) \right\} \times \frac{B_{\text{L}}B_{\text{T}}}{4\pi^2},\n|\mathbf{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{-+}|^2\n= \left\{ |\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{RR}}|^2 \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \sin^2 \frac{\overline{\theta}}{2} + |\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{LL}}|^2 \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \cos^2 \frac{\overline{\theta}}{2} \n+ \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}[\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{RR}} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{LL}*}] \sin \theta \sin \overline{\theta} \cos(\phi - \overline{\phi}) \right. \qquad (B.6)\n- \frac{1}{2} \text{Im}[\mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{RR}} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{\lambda\lambda}^{\text{LL}*}] \sin \theta \sin \overline{\theta} \sin(\phi - \overline{\phi}) \right\} \times \frac{B_{\text{T}}^2}{4\pi^2}.
$$

#### **References**

- 1. K. Abe et al. [ACFA Linear Collider Working Group Collaboration], Particle Physics Experiments at JLC, hepph/0109166
- 2. J. Aguilar-Saavedra et al. [ECFA/DESY LC Physics Working Group Collaboration], Physics at an  $e^+e^-$  Linear Collider, hep-ph/0106315
- 3. T. Abe et al. [American Linear Collider Working Group Collaboration], in Proceedings of the APS/DPF/DPB Summer Study on the Future of Particle Physics (Snowmass 2001) edited by R. Davidson, C. Quigg, SLAC-R-570 Resource book for Snowmass 2001, 30 June–21 July 2001, Snowmass, Colorado
- 4. I.F. Ginzburg, G.L. Kotkin, S.L. Panfil, V.G. Selbo, V.I. Telnov, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A **219**, 5 (1984); V.I. Telnov, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. **294**, 72 (1990)
- 5. M.M. Muhlleitner, M. Kramer, M. Spira, P.M. Zerwas, Phys. Lett. B **508**, 311 (2001); D.M. Asner, J.B. Gronberg, J.F. Gunion, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 035009 (2003)
- 6. G.V. Jikia, Nucl. Phys. B **405**, 24 (1993); M.S. Berger, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 5121 (1993); T. Ohgaki, T. Takahashi, I. Watanabe, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 1723 (1997); G. Jikia, S. Soldner-Rembold, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A **472**, 133 (2001); P. Niezurawski, A.F. Zarnecki, M. Krawczyk, Acta Phys. Polon. B **34**, 177 (2003); P. Niezurawski, A.F. Zarnecki, M. Krawczyk, hep-ph/0307183
- 7. B. Grazadkowski, J.F. Ginion, Phys. Lett. B **294**, 361 (1992); M. Kramer, J. Kühn, M.L. Stong, P.M. Zerwas, Z. Phys. C **64**, 21 (1994); J.F. Gunion, J.G. Kelly, Phys. Lett. B **333**, 110 (1994)
- 8. K. Hagiwara, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A **472**, 12 (2001)
- 9. E. Asakawa, J. Kamoshita, A. Sugamoto, I. Watanabe, Eur. Phys. J. C **14**, 335 (2000)
- 10. E. Asakawa, S.Y. Choi, K. Hagiwara, J.S. Lee, Phys. Rev. <sup>D</sup> **62**, 115005 (2000)
- 11. R.M. Godbole, S.D. Rindani, R.K. Singh, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 095009 (2003)
- 12. P. Niezurawski, A.F. Zarnecki, M. Krawczyk, JHEP **0211**, 034 (2002)
- 13. K. Hagiwara, D. Zeppenfeld, Nucl. Phys. B **274**, 1 (1986)
- 14. J.F. Gunion, H.E. Haber, G. Kane, S. Dawson, Higgs hunter's guide (Addison-Wesley Publishing Company 1990), and references therein
- 15. E. Asakawa, K. Hagiwara, in preparation
- 16. K. Hagiwara, H. Murayama, I. Watanabe, Nucl. Phys. B **367**, 257 (1991)
- 17. M. Jeżabek, J.H. Kühn, Nucl. Phys. B 320, 20 (1989); G. Mahlon, S. Parke, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 4886 (1996)
- 18. M. Diehl, O. Nachtman, Z. Phys. C **62**, 397 (1994); J.F. Gunion, B. Grzadkowski, X.-G. He, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 5172 (1996); K. Hagiwara, S. Ishihara, J. Kamoshita, B.A. Kniehl, Eur. Phys. J. C **14**, 457 (2000)
- 19. A. Djouadi, J. Kalinowski, M. Spira, Comm. Phys. Commun. Res. **108**, 56 (1998)
- 20. H. Murayama, I. Watanabe, K. Hagiwara, HELAS: HE-Licity Amplitude Subroutines for Feynman Diagram Evaluations, KEK Report 91-11 (1992)